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Good afternoon. As Jessica and Blake have illustrated, generations of Lone Star State lawmakers have had a prurient obsession with using regulation and Star Chamber proceedings to combat any perceived deviation from what they defined as the norm. Texas was not alone in this endeavor. As the Cold War culture took shape in the years immediately following the Second World War, legislative and executive bodies throughout the United States conceived investigatory agencies that often amounted to or deteriorated into state-sponsored witch hunts, following a backward-looking flight path similar to that of “Tail-Gunner” Joe McCarthy. As Ellen Schrecker clearly illustrated in her 1986 classic, *No Ivory Tower*, McCarthyite investigations—especially of academic institutions—become an obsession of American legislative bodies by the 1950s. Southern legislatures, as *Brown v. Board of Education* and other cases worked through the federal court system, generally sought to connect civil rights activities and challenges to racial segregation with a conspiracy foisted by communists and other “outside agitators,” condemning progressively minded white transplants to their states and African Americans from “up North” as the Marxist “carpetbaggers” of the day. While legislatively created bodies such as the Mississippi State Sovereignty Commission, the Special Education Committee of the Arkansas Legislative Council, Louisiana’s Joint Legislative
Committee on Segregation, and Virginia’s Boatwright Committee on Offenses against the Administration of Justice saw a communist-inspired conspiracy in any attempt to subvert segregation, Florida’s primary legislative investigatory body took things to new extremes.¹

Geographically south but not quite Southern, where the “more north you go the more South you are,” Florida is a state of migrants, many of us still in search of our final destination. Indeed, in the fall of 1986, the state hoped to increase tourism with an advertising campaign that promised those who visited the Sunshine State that their presence would lead to memorable experiences: “Florida—The Rules are Different Here.” Although the promotion has faded and others have replaced it, the use of that slogan has reappeared in our history, usually with a sarcastic or derisive tone. Florida does seem to be a place that attracts the strange and the weird. And, unfortunately, our politicians often rise to the top when it comes to the “weird and dangerous” category.²

Nearly sixty years ago, a handful of lawmakers known as “Porkchoppers” led by then-Senate President Charley Eugene Johns saw changes on the horizon that challenged the social structure and threatened their hegemony. A native of Starke in Bradford County, northeast Florida, Johns had first entered Florida politics as a representative in 1935. Johns sought public office to fulfill the aspirations of his brother and his father, both of whom died in office. With the opening of the 1937 biennial

¹ See: Ellen W. Schrecker, No Ivory Tower: McCarthyism and the Universities (New York: Oxford University Press, 1986). Since the early 2000s, a rich literature of theses, dissertations, articles, and monographs has examined legislative investigations in the South. Scholars have benefitted from the opening of previously closed manuscript collections and government documents, even if highly redacted, since writers of most earlier works had to piece together their narrative based upon newspaper articles, secondary sources, and occasional interviews with people who tried to reconstruct events from more than thirty years prior. For an example of a work that looks at another state’s legacy, see: Yasuhiro Katagiri, The Mississippi State Sovereignty Commission: Civil Rights and States’ Rights (Jackson: University Press of Mississippi, 2001). The Mississippi Department of Archives and History maintains electronic access to the Sovereignty Commission through a portal at: http://mdah.state.ms.us/arrec/digital_archives/sovcom/ (Accessed 30 September 2014).

² A popular 1986 television advertisement from the Florida Department of Commerce’s Division of Tourism appears on YouTube as of 1 October 2014: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bvpb28UFy7Q
legislative session, Johns began a long senatorial career that lasted through the 1966 extraordinary session and included a fifteen-month term as acting governor of Florida. Floridians who opposed Johns and his propensity for requesting legislative investigations might have found irony in the state handbook that listed fishing, hunting, and collecting photographs among his favorite hobbies.3

Charley Johns championed the brand of personal politics expected by his constituents. Similar to many demagogic Southern politicians, Johns understood that informal conversations at a barbeque, fish-fry, or the coffee shop carried great weight with residents of his district. Johns preferred hometown ways to the city life and cultivated a populist image as a "spokesman for the little people." During the mid-1950s, advertisements regularly encouraged readers of his hometown newspaper, the *Bradford County Telegraph*, to purchase "gasoline with a 'Southern Accent!'" from one of the family businesses. Johns became acting governor in the fall of 1953 after the untimely death of Governor Dan McCarty. At the time, the state’s 1885 constitution did not have a clearly defined plan of succession if the governor died while in office. As senate president, Johns became the acting governor."4

Two distinct factions appeared in Florida’s Democratic Party after World War II. During the tumultuous 1955 reapportionment debates, lawmakers who hoped to perpetuate the legislative dominance of agrarian districts became known as "Porkchoppers." Those who sought fair representation for burgeoning cities along the peninsula took the nickname "Lambchoppers."

Porkchoppers hailed largely from rural districts in the upper-peninsula and Panhandle, regions that


had held political dominance since the 1820s and that were bypassed by the postwar population growth and economic boom. Unreconstructed sons of Dixie, these powerbrokers unabashedly professed their belief in white supremacy and their desire to maintain the customs and traditions that had elevated their stature at the expense of others. As champions of business progressivism, Lamb Chop legislators wanted to provide equitable legislative apportionment and a substantial revision of the 1885 constitution. To put this in perspective: In 1950, (Miami-)Dade County's lone state senator spoke for nearly 500,000 constituents, while Jefferson County's delegate in northern Florida had barely 10,400 voters in his district. Thus, counties with less than fifteen percent of population controlled both houses.⁵

Despite their parochial nature, Porkchoppers scrutinized events in faraway places. They witnessed the growth of a Cold War culture after World War II predicated on deferential patriotism, the defense of American institutions, and the defeat of a supposedly monolithic totalitarian menace. To preserve a sense of peace in their portion of an unstable planet, Pork Chop politicians learned tactics from others, such as Senator Joe McCarthy and, later, Senator Estes Kefauver of Tennessee, who brought his Congressional investigative committee to Miami and Tampa.⁶

---

⁵ More than a half-century after its publication, the research by William C. Havard and Loren P. Beth in The Politics of Mis-representation: Rural-Urban Conflict in the Florida Legislature (Baton Rouge, Louisiana State University Press, 1962) remains a standard source for the urban/rural dichotomy that describes the differences between “north” Florida and “south” Florida. Although the boundaries have changed somewhat, this remains a hot topic of conversation, as evidenced by the much publicized 7 October 2014 resolution in the City of South Miami encouraging the secession of counties generally south of the Interstate 4 corridor and the creation of “South Florida” as the fifty-first state as mentioned in the Miami Herald and other sources. For the most recent incarnation of this discussion, see: http://www.miamiherald.com/news/local/community/miami-dade/south-miami/article3354446.html. Kevin N. Klein, “Guarding the Baggage: Florida’s Pork Chop Gang and Its Defense of the Old South (Ph.D. dissertation, Florida State University, 1995), 135-140; Thomas R. Wagy, Governor LeRoy Collins of Florida: Spokesman of the New South, (University, AL: University of Alabama Press, 1985), 46-55.

⁶ Members of the Johns Committee had access to, and most certainly reviewed, records of the Kefauver hearings. Some transcripts did appear in the archives of Florida Legislative Investigation Committee when they were released in the Senate Office Building, Tallahassee, in July 1993.
Joining their colleagues in other southern states, Porkchoppers and the majority of their white constituents demanded massive resistance to *Brown*. The year 1956 marked a pivotal turning point in the organized backlash against the civil rights movement. Federal courts and local boards of public instruction avoided implementing *Brown*. President Eisenhower refused to intervene. Resistance also appeared on Capitol Hill when ninety-six members of Congress signed a Declaration of Constitutional Principles in March, the "Southern Manifesto." Of course, events such as the Montgomery Bus Boycott inspired the civil rights movement and harsh responses from segregationists. A similar boycott in Florida—what some historians consider to be the first major student-led protest of the postwar South—actually took place in the late spring of 1956 and provoked a stern response.

The Tallahassee bus boycott began in late May, when police arrested two Florida A & M University students after they refused to follow the bus driver’s order to move from seats in a white section of a Cities Transit Company bus. Like Rosa Parks in Montgomery, the FAMU students claimed fatigue as the motivation. Unlike the protest in Montgomery, however, the boycott in Tallahassee grew out of a student movement. Some white students at nearby Florida State University also began to participate. Financial losses prompted the bus company to suspend service a little more than a month after the protest began. Tallahassee’s police chief dispatched Remus J. Strickland, his lead investigator, to monitor activities and to arrest carpoolers for operating “illegal taxis.” Meanwhile, angry whites burned crosses, Klan gatherings increased, and legislators burned the midnight oil in a special session to craft legislation to contain such agitation.

---

During a special legislative session that summer, lawmakers passed a bill creating the Florida Legislative Investigation Committee. Governor LeRoy Collins, already battling his legislative colleagues on other measures and knowing a veto would kill support for other programs, allowed this bill to become law without his signature. By the fall, the FLIC launched a variety of investigations against the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) and other targets with the goal of connecting the boycotts and other civil rights activities to a larger communist conspiracy. They sought membership lists. They hired investigators such as R. J. Strickland to “do their dirty work.” From late 1956 to mid-1958, they waged an unsuccessful battle to eradicate any and all supporters of integration. Since the Committee—one that by then was chaired by Senator Johns—was an interim committee, lawmakers on this body needed to justify their committee’s existence at each legislative session. Unable to abolish the NAACP, they needed to devise a new game plan.8

After McCarthyite tactics failed to destroy the NAACP and similar integrationist groups, the FLIC launched a relentless probe against a contrived "homosexual menace." Senator Johns quietly dispatched an investigator to search for homosexuals at the University of Florida during the summer of 1958. Rumors and innuendo offered the FLIC a long-awaited opportunity to create a conspiracy that linked homosexuality, subversion, and integration. Jerome Johns, the senator's son and a UF student, told his father he believed that “effeminate” instructors corrupted the curriculum. Soon thereafter, Strickland met an African-American man who claimed that he had engaged in lascivious

---

acts with male faculty members at the university—this created a perfect storm—homosexual
miscegenation! Johns urged Strickland to conduct his inquiry with the utmost secrecy because the
1957 enabling act did not permit the Committee to search for homosexuals. The chief investigator
also operated covertly for practical reasons: Strickland hoped to maintain an element of surprise and
to avoid attracting negative publicity that might threaten the Committee. Johns sent assignments to
Strickland through the Committee's chief counsel, Mark Hawes.9

The University of Florida investigations serve as a microcosm for the Committee’s blatant
violation of basic rights in its gay and lesbian investigations. The men's lavatory at the Alachua County
courthouse, more than one mile from campus, actually served as the focal point for much of the UF
investigation. The county sheriff, who had once served as chief of the university's police department,
agreed to give the Johns Committee full authority to monitor the building's public restrooms. In this
corrupt bargain, the sheriff even supported Strickland's plan to keep the facilities open during the
evening to attract traffic. Strickland persuaded the UF police chief to reassign a few campus officers
from their regular duties so they could serve as decoys and provide surveillance of the courthouse
restrooms. Those arrested were shown photographs of UF faculty members and asked if they had
ever seen certain professors near the courthouse. Strickland preferred guilt by association.10

9 Charles W. Arnade, interview by Author; Charles W. Arnade interview, Silver Anniversary Oral History Program,
University of South Florida; Chapter 57-125, Laws of Florida. For a discussion focusing on gay and lesbian investigations,
see: James Anthony Schnur, “Closet Crusaders: The Johns Committee and Homophobia,” in Carryin’ On in the Lesbian
and Gay South, ed. by John Howard (New York: New York University Press, 1997) and Stacy Lorraine Braukman,
“Anticommunism and the Politics of Sex and Race in Florida, 1954-1965” (Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of
North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 1999).

10 Document 2-75 (22 January 1959), Document 4-8 (7 January 1959), Document 4-70 (6 December 1958), Document 2-77
(8 January 1959), Document 3-145 (25 May 1960), Florida Legislative Investigation Committee Papers, State Archives of
Florida, Tallahassee. The document numbers included above and throughout this paper, as well as in Schnur’s M.A. thesis,
refer to the arrangement of the records in the Senate Office Building. When transferred to the State Archives (Record
Group 940, Series 1486) by 1994, the documents were rearranged to impose provenance and no longer appear in the
same order as when originally opened for inspection on 1 July 1993.
In all gay and lesbian investigations, the Committee intimidated the faculty members under interrogation. Strickland hired student informants with FLIC funds, used highway patrolmen to remove professors from the classroom in front of their students, and telephoned some instructors late at night, demanding that they provide testimony in his motel room at his convenience. The faculty member would often sit on one bed, with Committee investigators and occasionally legislators sitting on a bed next to them, and a reel-to-reel tape recorder in between. For example, some UF professors were called before the Committee after a single student deemed them to be "queer" by "observation of them in class . . . the way they act . . . nothing specific," and another instructor was named because he wore Bermuda shorts on campus. Many interviewees cowered under such pressure. Some resigned, some left the state, some surrendered their teaching certificates, and some attempted suicide. In February 1959, the Committee offered a nearly 2000-page report on its probe entitled “Crimes against Nature at the University of Florida.” Indeed, the rules are different here.  

Using a "domino effect" analogy that exacerbated Cold War fears, the FLIC report on UF warned that some instructors in higher education have enticed their students to engage in homosexual practices and that "these young people have been and are becoming teachers in the public school system of Florida." Karen Graves’s 2009 monograph, And They Were Wonderful Teachers, clearly established the way that Superintendent of Public Instruction Thomas D. Bailey, the Florida Department of Education, and even the Florida Education Association often worked in conspiratorial fashion with legislators and the Johns Committee to target teachers. Although they spoke in general terms and gave few specific details, FLIC members used the fear of a “homosexual menace” primarily at the University of Florida to persuade the legislature to extend the Committee's life for two more years so it could "investigate any agitator who may appear in Florida." Even the dismissal of more than fifty UF students and the termination of over twenty employees by 1959 did

---

not satisfy Johns, as the University of Florida continued to suffer from probes into the 1960s, and the numbers would grow as investigations came to other Florida primary, secondary, and post-secondary campuses.\(^{12}\)

In her excellent 2000 documentary film about the Johns Committee investigations, Allyson Beutke-DeVito captured the voices of some of the victims of UF probe. One person she interviewed was Art Copleston, a returning veteran who hid his homosexuality. He remembered seeing agents track him and other male students at an off-campus bar, watched UF police officers camp-out by campus dorms, and held a great fear for his future. His scariest day on campus was when the Dean of Men summoned him to his office. The door was closed, the Dean—with a somber face—passed along the bad news: Art’s father had died. As Art later related in an interview—his response was “Oh, thank God—my father has died but I am still alive.” The Committee never succeeded at “outing” him. One of the police officers who tracked him on orders from the Committee and its legislative members, John Tileston, considered the entrapment activities as part of the job, though—before his death on July 16 of this year—he told interviewers for “The Committee,” a 2011 documentary produced by the University of Central Florida, that he did have some misgivings about the mistreatment of those he arrested.\(^{13}\)

Homophobic witch hunts soon besieged all-white Florida State University in Tallahassee. In addition to Committee informants who frequented parks and other public facilities, legislators on the Committee authorized funds to hire an agent who invited male students to congregate at a house in suburban Tallahassee. The agent gained the confidence of the young men by providing parties and other social events, and by expressing an overt interest to meet their friends. When the intended


victims trusted their host, they went with him into a bedroom and talked about others at the party. The agent secretly taped these gossipy conversations and sent them to the investigator, who summoned participants to his office, questioned them, and had them expelled. Similar to the tactics used at the Alachua County courthouse, Committee agents and local deputies served as decoys at the Greyhound and Trailways bus station rest rooms. Strickland and the city's police officers, with full approval of lawmakers on the Committee, planted a tape machine in their vehicle, thereby allowing them to record conversations secretly before placing suspects under oath in a formal interrogation session.  

Investigators derived an especially perverse pleasure from discrediting African Americans at Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University in Tallahassee and at Jim Crow schools throughout Florida. At the same time Southern white supremacists perpetuated the myth that black males possessed an insatiable lust to defile white women, the Committee also used its probes to debase black homosexuals by portraying them as less than human. Committee operatives painstakingly recorded volumes of hearsay in their quest to destroy African-American educators. For example, they maintained a rap sheet of black school administrators who allegedly "derive(d) sex satisfaction through the rectum." In one specific example, Strickland visited the Clearwater offices of the Pinellas County school board to interrogate educators from all-black Gibbs Junior College. Strickland grilled a music instructor for nearly eight hours, but could not coerce a confession, despite referring to him as an "educated nigra," constantly reminding him he was under oath, asking if he knew the statutory penalties for perjury, and ordering him to answer if he had committed crimes "up North." Shortly after this session, the state revoked the teacher's certification and he relocated to Maryland.

Regardless of their race, educators targeted by the Florida Legislative Investigation Committee had much to fear, including the prospect of immediate personal humiliation and professional

---


alienation, as one teacher quickly learned when Strickland asked in McCarthyite fashion, "Do you know now, or have you known in the past any teacher in the public school system of this state who is a homosexual?"16

These investigations continued into the early 1960s. In February 1962, after asking a state employee to explain, "What pleasure do you derive from looking at a picture of a person in the nude?," Strickland inquired if he practiced mutual or self masturbation. In October of that year, an investigator asked another man if he and his roommate enjoyed "poking each other in the butt." Reporters who challenged the Committee in their newspaper articles also faced entrapment and arrest—such as when an Orlando reporter named Bob Delaney knocked on a motel door, was thrown on a bed with a nude woman, and Committee agents took pictures. The woman, by the way, was a hired investigator paid for with tax dollars authorized by the legislature.17

Throughout the John Committee investigations, lawmakers and staff involved in the closed-door interrogations maintained definitions of “homosexuality” that could be, at best, described as “situation-specific”: The Committee often equated homosexuality with the "crimes against nature" statute. Thus, state employees who admitted to heterosexual oral-genital contact—even with their own opposite-sex spouse—faced possible dismissal for committing sodomy because the Committee defined homosexuality as "the sexual relation between two people of the same sex usually, although it can be practiced by two people of the opposite sex." Strickland often took this terminology to the absurd extreme, as when he asked one gay man, "Have you ever thought of having homosexual


17 Document 2-56 (20 February 1962), Document 3-128 (3 April 1962), FLIC Papers, State Archives. The entrapment of Bob Delaney was a clear and visible attempt to send a message to other reporters that they, too, could be targeted if they used the power of the pen to criticize the Johns Committee.
relations with a woman just to find out what it's all about?" To obscure matters further, Strickland told a different subject that "a homosexual act is a sexual act between two people of the same sex . . . regardless of what the degree of the act itself might be whether it's a petting act or a fondling act or goes beyond that degree." 18

Even the lawmakers got involved by asking prurient questions: Rather than engaging in a pursuit of evidence, they chose to intimidate their subjects by forcing them to reveal private matters of no investigative value. When interrogating one man, Charley Johns flippantly asked, "Now, when you suck another man's penis, do you get the same sensation out of it as when you have yours sucked?" How many governors or lawmakers in your home states have ever asked that question? However, even that seemed tame when compared with the verbal groping commonly practiced by the Committee. While interviewing one lesbian, an investigator said, "I want you to tell me exactly what happened, go into details, everything that you did, stripped your clothes, you were in the nude, lay down in bed, what position you got into and what she did." A few children faced inquisitions that no parent would knowingly tolerate if conducted in a room full of middle-aged men, regardless of what elective office they may have held. When agents discovered a teenage girl who had innocently kissed and held hands with another girl, they wanted her to give them the intimate details. They also lectured her by stating, "Have you ever seen what (homosexuality) can really do to a person? . . . It can completely destroy you. . . . You haven't turned against the male sex then have you?" 19


These perverted and homophobic investigations ultimately led to the Committee’s demise. In January 1964, it released the infamous report entitled *Homosexuality and Citizenship in Florida*. Known as the “purple pamphlet” for its strange cover, it created a firestorm of controversy with revealing photographs and an interesting, to say the least, glossary. With sanction of the state legislature, the taxpayers of Florida now had a ready-reference resource that differentiated between a “queer,” “fairy,” “swishy,” and “butch”; offered nuanced differences between a “dog’s lunch” and a “puppy’s lunch”; and explained that the difference between “69” and “71” was not just the number two.20

The Committee tried to save face as others claimed it was pandering pornography. Committee member C. W. "Bill" Young, then a Republican state senator from Pinellas County and later a longtime leader in the U.S. House of Representatives, warned that residents cannot "stick (their) heads in the sand. The legislature has responsibilities to the public to expose these people who have been preying on young people." To Young, the glossary allowed police officers "to recognize a homosexual from some words he used." Many people in the Tampa Bay area who have endured Young’s long tenure in the House also knew he was far from the paragon of morality he portrayed, but with his death in October 2013 and his first wife and children speaking out in January of this year, his extramarital affairs and child and marriage to a second wife his oldest children’s age cast a dark shadow on yet another member of this Committee that had built his early political career by seeking to impose a truly perverted brand of morality.21

Ultimately, the Johns Committee was abolished in 1965, ending a nine-year assault on civil liberties. But that is not the entire story. For twenty-eight years, the state sequestered the records

---


21 *Tampa Tribune*, 30 January 1964; *St. Petersburg Times*, 19 March 1964; *St. Petersburg Independent*, 21 March 1964, Johns Committee Clippings, University of South Florida Special Collections; FLIC Papers, Document 4-73 (18 April 1964); "Homosex Report," 30 May 1964, box 1, FLIC Papers, State Archives; Professor Anonymous (pseud.), "Perverts Under the Palms," *Confidential Magazine*, February 1964, 48, box 1, John Egerton File on the Johns Committee, University of South Florida Special Collections, Tampa; *Tampa Bay Times*, 5 January 2014.
and tried to eradicate any trace of the Committee's terrible legacy. Finally, after court battles and many attempts to get Florida to live up to its “Government in the Sunshine” provisions, the official—and heavily redacted—records were opened in July 1993. Florida’s secret of shame finally came out of the closet.

The summer 2014 issue of the Florida Historical Quarterly focused on the historiography of the Johns Committee. Most of the early work during the first two generations came from Steven F. Lawson and his students at the University of South Florida. Lawson and Bonnie Stark wrote about the Committee while trying to gain access to the state records that remained sealed. Stacy Braukman, my “fellow traveler,” and I formed the core of the second generation, graduate students with USF connections who poured through the 30,000 pages of records for our work after the records opened in 1993. The third generation, represented by Karen Graves and Judith Poucher, has moved beyond broader institutional narratives to focus instead of more focused parts of the story.22

The Johns Committee and its supporters in the Florida legislature created the image of a diseased relationship between communism and homosexuality in Florida that supposedly infected and weakened American institutions. Florida's homophobic investigations served as a microcosm for Cold War crackdowns throughout the nation. But, the rules were different here: Unlike other legislative committees and sovereignty commissions of the period, the Johns Committee went beyond race, academic freedom, loyalty, and subversion: They became “Peeping Johns” seeking “perverts” under the palms. In their conspiratorial paradigm, suspect Floridians—especially those in fields such as education—threatened the family, the church, and other bulwarks of democracy by eschewing the nuclear family--America's vanguard against internal subversion--as well as by living outside of “traditional” Southern customs. All must remember that many at the time praised the Committee for doing “God’s work” and Johns later said that it was all worthwhile if the Committee

---

saved at least one child from “becoming a homosexual.” Florida—the rules are different here—thank goodness I am giving this talk in Georgia. Thank you.\textsuperscript{23}