

10-6-2005

College of Business Council Meeting : 2005 : 10 : 06

University of South Florida St. Petersburg. College of Business. College Council.

Follow this and additional works at: http://digital.usfsp.edu/ktcob_college_council_minutes

Recommended Citation

University of South Florida St. Petersburg. College of Business. College Council, "College of Business Council Meeting : 2005 : 10 : 06" (2005). *Kate Tiedemann College of Business: College Council Meeting Minutes* . 22.
http://digital.usfsp.edu/ktcob_college_council_minutes/22

This Other is brought to you for free and open access by the Kate Tiedemann College of Business (KTCOB) at Digital USFSP. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kate Tiedemann College of Business: College Council Meeting Minutes by an authorized administrator of Digital USFSP.

USFSP College of Business Council Meeting

Thursday, October 6, 2005 10:00AM

Present: Karin Braunsberger, Tom Carter, Jim Fellows, Scott Geiger, Ellen Hufnagel, Gary Patterson, Jim Spence
Guests: Dean Hill, Associate Dean Wilson
Absent: Jerry Lander (on sabbatical)

The CBC met with Dean Hill and Associate Dean Mark Wilson to get a better understanding of how the many tasks associated with accreditation will be divided between faculty committees and the Academic Officers under our new shared governance plan. The deans indicated that the faculty will be involved in content related issues, including developing mission statements for each program and providing content for the Academic Learning Compacts. In addition, the committee chairs will be responsible for ensuring that deadlines are met. We were, however, assured that the paperwork would continue to be done by the Academic Officers and their support staff, so there should be no significant addition to our workload beyond what we might otherwise have expected as a result of accreditation.

Also discussed were the reasons the CBC voted against signing the proposed ethical guidelines which included the following:

1. The fact that the document wasn't clear as to whom it applied to (i.e., does it also apply to Academic Officers who hold faculty rank?)
2. The language in Proposition A states that a faculty member will make no judgment and express no opinion unless they are basically an expert in the field of the candidate. Since we have few faculty with expertise in any one area or specialty and our faculty also serve on a campuswide committee, evaluating CAS and COE applicants, this proposition would simply be unworkable.
3. Proposition E, which states that there should be no contact, casual or otherwise, between candidates, reviewers, or just about anybody at all in the college during the TP process is also unworkable unless we put all T&P candidates in quarantine until their packets have left the College.

The next regularly scheduled meeting of the CBC will be October 27th at 10:00 am.